

From Nine to Eight: How Eris Changed the Solar System's Story

Shamitaa Arora

December 2, 2024

Introduction

The discovery of Eris, a far-off object in the outer limits of our solar system, in the early 2000s caused a great deal of controversy over the categorization of planets. Eris disputed the conventional notion of what constitutes a planet and was first thought to be a possible tenth planet. Pluto was later reclassified as a dwarf planet as a result of the necessity for a more accurate definition. This study examines Eris' ascent and decline as a candidate for planetary status, examining the standards established by the International Astronomical Union (IAU) and the consequences of these shifts for our comprehension of the composition of the solar system. Our goal in doing this analysis is to show how these changing definitions influence how we classify celestial bodies.

The Discovery of Eris

In January 2005, astronomer Mike Brown and his team at the Palomar Observatory in California made the discovery of Eris. Discussions over Eris' possible designation as the tenth planet in our solar system were initially sparked by the fact that it seemed larger than Pluto (Brown et al., 2006). Its discovery sparked debate over the proper classification of celestial objects and called into question the conventional wisdom regarding the structure of our solar system.

Beyond Neptune, in the far-off region of the Kuiper Belt, which is inhabited by frozen worlds, Eris circles the Sun in the dispersed disk region. It was a special subject of study because of its extremely eccentric orbit and significant separation from the Sun. Eris takes approximately 558 Earth years to complete one orbit around the Sun, and its highly inclined orbit compared to the ecliptic plane added to its distinctiveness (Brown, 2010). Its discovery highlighted the need for clear criteria to distinguish planets from other celestial objects,

especially as advanced technology uncovered more Kuiper Belt objects of similar size and characteristics.

The Controversy and the Planet Definition Debate

The International Astronomical Union (IAU) lacked a clear definition of a planet prior to Eris's discovery. Planets were traditionally thought of as major celestial bodies that orbited the Sun, but the discovery of other huge objects, such as Eris, necessitated a more sophisticated classification scheme.

There were worries that many more objects of this type might be categorized in a similar way if Eris was added as the tenth planet. There are thousands of frozen bodies in the Kuiper Belt and dispersed disk, some of which may be comparable to Pluto and Eris in size and makeup. Other massive Kuiper Belt objects like Haumea and Makemake would also request planetary status if Eris met the requirements, which could greatly increase the number of known planets. This situation necessitated a reassessment of the criteria for defining a planet (Soter, 2006).

The 2006 IAU Definition of a Planet

In August 2006, the IAU convened in Prague and established three criteria for an object to be classified as a planet:

1. The object must orbit the Sun.
2. The object must be spherical due to its own gravity.
3. The object must have cleared its orbital neighborhood of other debris.

Eris and Pluto both met the first two requirements, but not the third. Since neither had removed any additional debris from their orbits, the IAU designated them as "dwarf planets." They were not given full planetary status, but this new category acknowledged their unique qualities. As a result, Pluto, Eris, and other similar entities were relegated to the dwarf planet category, making the solar system officially eight planets (IAU, 2006).

A new term, "plutoid," was also created by the IAU to refer to dwarf planets that orbit outside of Neptune. This classification aids in differentiating between trans-Neptunian objects like Pluto and Eris and those like Ceres, which orbits in the asteroid belt between Mars and Jupiter. These definitions sought to accommodate new findings while providing clarity to the expanding field of planetary research (IAU, 2008).

Pluto's Demotion and Public Reaction

There was much discussion among scientists and the general public about Pluto's reclassification as a dwarf planet. Pluto has long been regarded as the eighth planet when it was discovered by Clyde Tombaugh in 1930. It was an anomaly among the classical planets because of its peculiarities, such as its elliptical, inclined orbit, and very small size. The public, however, was emotionally affected by Pluto's demotion because they perceived its planetary status as an indelible aspect of the solar system's identity (Stern & Levison, 2002).

The dispute brought to light more general concerns about how science establishes classifications and adjusts to new findings. The IAU's definition, according to critics, was overly strict, and the third requirement unjustly penalised objects in areas like the Kuiper Belt, where the abundance of smaller bodies makes it difficult to clear an orbit. Instead of using orbital dynamics, some astronomers suggested definitions based on intrinsic characteristics including size and composition (Runyon et al., 2017).

The restoration of Pluto as a planet was the subject of numerous campaigns and petitions in response to public opinion. Because of the deep emotional attachment that many people had to Pluto's previous rank, certain educational institutions and mainstream media sources still referred to it as the eighth planet. Nevertheless, the IAU's classification was widely accepted by the scientific community, which saw it as an essential step in achieving a more accurate understanding of the solar system (Brown, 2010).

Implications for Modern Astronomy

The IAU's ruling established a standard for upcoming discoveries in addition to resolving the urgent issue of Eris' classification. Astronomers expect to discover additional objects like Eris and Pluto as telescopes and detecting techniques advance. These objects can be included under the "dwarf planet" category without significantly increasing the number of planets in the list.

The dynamic and ever-evolving nature of science is further highlighted by this classification scheme. New findings frequently call into question long-held beliefs, requiring revisions to theories and classification schemes. The Eris-Pluto controversy serves as an example of how, despite public disagreement, scientific discussions can result in improved understanding and consensus. Every new finding from the continuous investigation of the Kuiper Belt and beyond adds to a more complete understanding of the complexity of our solar system's genesis and evolution (Soter, 2006).

The Broader Context of Planetary Classification

The controversy involving Eris and Pluto also draws attention to the more general difficulties with scientific classification. Scientific classifications have changed over time in reaction to fresh findings and shifting viewpoints. For instance, as scientists have learned more about genetics and evolutionary links, the taxonomy of biological species has undergone multiple modifications. As additional elements have been found, the periodic table of elements has also grown and changed.

Accordingly, Pluto's reclassification and the establishment of the dwarf planet category are a logical step in the evolution of astronomical knowledge. By defining precise standards for what qualifies as a planet, the IAU has set the stage for future discoveries and guaranteed that the classification system is consistent and scientifically sound (Brown et al., 2006).

The Role of Technology in Discovering New Celestial Bodies

The controversy surrounding Eris and Pluto highlights the significance of astronomy's technological breakthroughs. Strong telescopes and advanced imaging methods enabled astronomers to find faint, far-off objects in the outer solar system, which led to the discovery of Eris. Our capacity to detect and investigate tiny things beyond Neptune has significantly expanded thanks to developments in observational technology, including the creation of wide-field digital surveys and enhanced picture processing algorithms (Brown, 2010).

Our knowledge of the Kuiper Belt and its significance in the origin and evolution of the solar system has increased as a result of the finding of Eris and other trans-Neptunian objects. These items are thought to be relics from the early solar system, offering important

hints about its past. By examining them, scientists can better understand the distribution of various planetary body types and piece together the processes that produced the solar system (Morbidelli & Nesvorný, 2012).

Cultural and Educational Impact

Beyond the scientific community, the reclassification of Pluto had a significant impact on the public view of astronomy and education. The new definition of a planet and the inclusion of the dwarf planet category required revisions to textbooks, planetarium displays, and educational courses. More extensive conversations concerning the nature of scientific knowledge and its temporal evolution were spurred by this process.

The significance of public participation in science was also brought to light by the issue. People's strong emotional attachments to scientific ideas and the importance of open communication between scientists and the general public were both illustrated by the fervent reaction to Pluto's demotion. The astronomical community has stimulated curiosity about the solar system and increased interest in planetary research by bringing the public into the conversation (Stern & Levison, 2002).

Conclusion

An important turning point in contemporary astronomy was the discovery of Eris and the ensuing redefining of what qualifies as a planet. The IAU handled the complexity brought about by new discoveries and made sure that the structure of the solar system remained logical and supported by science by defining criteria for planetary categorisation. Pluto's demotion illustrates the changing nature of scientific research and the necessity of adjusting

to new information, even though it is still a contentious issue. The categorisation of celestial bodies will probably continue to be a dynamic and developing field as research into the outer solar system progresses, demonstrating the ever-growing limits of human comprehension.

Work Cited

- Brown, M. E., et al. (2006). *The discovery of Eris and the redefining of the solar system*. Astrophysical Journal, 643(1), 67–74.
- Brown, M. E. (2010). *How I killed Pluto and why it had it coming*. Spiegel & Grau.
- International Astronomical Union. (2006). *IAU 2006 General Assembly: Result of the IAU Resolution on the Definition of a Planet*. Retrieved from iau.org
- International Astronomical Union. (2008). *Definition of plutoids*. Retrieved from iau.org
- Morbidelli, A., & Nesvorný, D. (2012). Kuiper Belt: Formation and evolution. *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 50(1), 409–439.
- Runyon, K. D., et al. (2017). *A geophysical planet definition*. Lunar and Planetary Science Conference.
- Soter, S. (2006). *What is a planet?* The Astronomical Journal, 132(6), 2513–2519.
- Stern, A., & Levison, H. F. (2002). Regarding the criteria for planet classification. *Nature*, 417(6888), 46–48.